The Effect of Dietary Protein on Body Composition and Renal Function in Geriatric Dogs
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ABSTRACT
Forty healthy geriatric beagles (≥10 years, initially 12.0 kg) were fed a control food during a 30-day pre-feeding period, and then blocked by age, gender, and body fat percentage. Dogs were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 foods (A = Experimental Food, B = Royal Canin Mature Medium Breed, C = Purina Dog Chow Senior, and D = Eukanuba Senior Maintenance) for the 6-month testing period. Blood chemistry, microalbuminuria, and DEXA were evaluated for changes in kidney health and body composition. At Day 180, body weight increased ($P < 0.01$) in dogs fed Food B and tended ($P < 0.07$) to increase in dogs fed Food A. No other differences in weight or changes in weight were detected ($P > 0.13$). Dogs fed Food B and Food D lost lean ($P < 0.05$) over the 180-day period. Dogs fed Food B also gained fat ($P < 0.01$) while the other 3 foods maintained fat. In addition, dogs fed Food B gained ($P < 0.01$) bone mineral content (BMC) while dogs fed Food C lost ($P < 0.05$) BMC. For kidney function markers, blood creatinine was unaffected by dietary treatment ($P > 0.25$). Dogs fed Foods B, C, and D had higher ($P < 0.03$) blood urea nitrogen (BUN) levels than dogs fed Food A. For BUN: creatinine ratio, dogs fed Foods B, C, and D had elevated ($P < 0.02$) ratios compared to dogs fed Food A. Dogs fed Food D had a greater percentage of animals with reduced kidney function ($P < 0.05$) as indicated by microalbuminuria analysis compared to dogs fed Food A.

In conclusion, geriatric dogs fed experimental Food A with reduced protein/phosphorus showed less progression of kidney disease compared to the other commercial geriatric foods. In addition, dogs fed experimental Food A maintained lean and bone mass compared to other commercial formulations.

INTRODUCTION
Renal failure or chronic renal disease is an important condition, particularly in older animals, that may impact the quality of life and longevity of the animal. Dietary management of this disease has become critical to modifying the progression and increasing survival rates. A reduction in dietary crude protein concentration has been shown to reduce the progression of kidney disease in companion animals. A similar response has also been observed to reductions in dietary phosphorus availability on renal patient survival. Other dietary factors such as antioxidants and polyunsaturated fatty acids have shown promise in reducing the rate of kidney disease progression and protecting the kidney from further damage.

Loss of lean muscle mass is also a concern among aging companion animals as in humans. Reductions in muscle mass with aging may lead to decreases in activity and general health, and may be associ-
ated with other age-related diseases such as obesity, diabetes, etc. Supplying the proper concentrations of the essential amino acids relative to lysine (protein quality) rather than a total dietary protein amount (protein quantity) is required for the synthesis of new muscle protein and to prevent its breakdown. In foods designed for weight loss, dietary protein is often increased to prevent reductions in muscle mass while providing positive effects on insulin sensitivity. However, increasing dietary crude protein may also increase the risk for developing kidney disorders due to the processing of excess nitrogen and mobilization of calcium to buffer the additional acid load. Increasing dietary protein may also have negative implications on bone preservation.

Thus, the objective of this study was to determine the effect of 3 commercially available geriatric foods and 1 experimental geriatric food with various protein levels on quality of life in geriatric dogs as determined by measures of body composition and kidney health.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animal Care and Health
Prior to the studies, dogs were determined to be healthy by physical exam and blood chemistry screen. The dogs were located in the Hill’s Pet Nutrition Center (Topeka, KS) and cared for in accordance with Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee protocols. Additionally, dogs were offered enrichment toys, received routine grooming and had daily opportunities for socialization with other dogs and people.

Study Design
Forty healthy geriatric dogs were fed a control food during a 30-day pre-feeding period, and then blood samples and dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (DEXA; DXA-QDR-4500, Hologic, Inc., Waltham, MA) scans were performed. The dogs were blocked by age, gender, and body fat percentage and randomly assigned to 1 of 3 commercially available geriatric foods or the experimental geriatric food for the 6-month testing period. Dogs were weighed weekly and food intake monitored daily.

Foods
Dogs were assigned to 1 of 4 foods (A-D): Food A (Experimental Food), Food B (Royal Canin Mature Medium Breed), Food C (Purina Dog Chow Senior), or Food D (Eukanuba Senior Maintenance) (Table 1). All foods were formulated to meet or exceed Association of American Feed Control Officials nutrient recommendations.

Serum and Urine Analysis
Blood and urine samples were taken at Days 0, 30, 90, and 180. Collected serum was stored at -20°C in 1-mL aliquots. Serum was analyzed for chemistry screens and vital organ markers at the Hill’s Pet Nutrition Center (Topeka, KS) (Table 2). Urine was sampled and microalbuminuria (Heska ERD kit) analysis conducted.

Statistical Analysis
Data were analyzed using General Linear Models procedure of SAS to determine treatment means. The experimental unit was dog, and Day 0 used as a covariate. Four geriatric foods were compared (A-D). Differences were considered significant when \( P < 0.05 \) and trends were determined when \( P \leq 0.10 \).

RESULTS

Body Composition (Table 3)
At Day 90, dogs fed Food A and Food B had increased \( (P < 0.01) \) weight. No differences \( (P > 0.72) \) in lean or bone mineral content (BMC) were detected between foods; however, dogs fed Food B had increased \( (P < 0.01) \) fat. At Day 180, dogs fed Food B had increased \( (P < 0.01) \) weight and fat while dogs fed Food A tended \( (P < 0.07) \) to have increased weight compared to Day 0. Dogs fed Food B and Food D had reduced \( (P < 0.05) \) lean while dogs fed Food A and Food C maintained lean. Dogs fed Food B had increased \( (P < 0.01) \) BMC while dogs fed Food C had reduced \( (P < 0.05) \) BMC.
Table 1. Analyzed Nutrient Composition of the 4 Geriatric Foods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nutrient, 100% Dry Matter Basis</th>
<th>Control&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Food A&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Food B&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Food C&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Food D&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crude protein, %</td>
<td>21.53</td>
<td>18.64</td>
<td>27.65</td>
<td>25.51</td>
<td>27.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat, %</td>
<td>17.00</td>
<td>15.24</td>
<td>13.52</td>
<td>10.19</td>
<td>12.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude fiber, %</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>5.10</td>
<td>2.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca, %</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P, %</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash, %</td>
<td>4.37</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>4.41</td>
<td>6.32</td>
<td>7.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moisture, %</td>
<td>7.40</td>
<td>7.36</td>
<td>8.38</td>
<td>8.12</td>
<td>6.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium, %</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium, %</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium, %</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloride, %</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHA, %</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPA, %</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linoleic acid, %</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>2.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total omega-3 fatty acids, %</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total omega-6 fatty acids, %</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>2.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taurine, ppm</td>
<td>1180</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>1090</td>
<td>&lt;100</td>
<td>1500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carnitine, ppm</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lysine, %</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>1.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arginine, %</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>1.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methionine, %</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cystine, %</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threonine, %</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tryptophan, %</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leucine, %</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>2.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valine, %</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isoleucine, %</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manganese, ppm</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin E, IU/kg</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>1378</td>
<td>594</td>
<td>822</td>
<td>393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin C, ppm</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipoic acid, ppm</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atwater metabolizable energy, kcal/kg</td>
<td>3823</td>
<td>3785</td>
<td>3672</td>
<td>3326</td>
<td>3557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lysine/calorie ratio</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>3.49</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>4.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DHA = docosahexaenoic acid; EPA = eicosapentanoic acid.

<sup>a</sup>Control food ingredient list: corn meal, poultry meal, animal fat, soybean meal, flaxseed, corn gluten meal, egg, pal enhancer, potassium chloride, calcium carbonate, choline chloride, iodized salt, vitamin premix, preservative, L-tryptophan, taurine, dicalcium phosphate, L-lysine, glucosamine, mineral premix, L-arginine, and chondroitin sulfate.

<sup>b</sup>Experimental food ingredient list: corn meal, poultry meal, soybean meal, animal fat, pal enhancer A, flaxseed, soybean oil, fish oil, beet pulp, corn gluten meal, DL-methionine, pal enhancer B, potassium chloride, dicalcium phosphate, calcium carbonate, L-carnitine, choline chloride, vitamin E, L-lysine, vitamin premix, iodized salt, taurine, L-tryptophan, L-threonine, mineral premix, preservative, manganese sulfate.

<sup>c</sup>Royal Canin Mature Medium Breed.

<sup>d</sup>Purina Dog Chow Senior.

<sup>e</sup>Eukanuba Senior Maintenance.
Kidney Health (Table 4)

At Day 30, dogs fed Food B tended \((P < 0.07)\) to have lower creatinine compared to dogs fed Food A. Dogs fed Food A had lower \((P < 0.01)\) blood urea nitrogen (BUN) and lower BUN:creatinine ratio compared to dogs fed the other 3 commercial foods. Dogs fed Food A had a lower \((P < 0.04)\) percentagage of animals with early signs of kidney damage as indicated by microalbuminuria analysis compared to dogs fed Food B and Food D and tended \((P < 0.08)\) to have a lower percentage with early signs of kidney damage compared to dogs fed Food C. At Day 90, dogs fed Food B had lower \((P < 0.04)\) creatinine compared to dogs fed Food C. At Day 90, dogs fed Food B had lower \((P < 0.04)\) creatinine compared to dogs fed Food A. Dogs fed Food A had lower \((P < 0.05)\) BUN and BUN:creatinine ratio \((P < 0.01)\) compared to dogs fed the other 3 commercial foods. No differences \((P > 0.17)\) in the percentage of dogs with early signs of kidney damage by microalbuminuria analysis were detected at Day 90. At Day 180, creatinine levels were similar \((P > 0.25)\) for the 4 foods. Dogs fed Food A had lower \((P < 0.03)\) BUN levels and BUN:creatinine \((P < 0.02)\) compared to the other 3 commercial foods. Finally, dogs fed Food D had a greater \((P < 0.01)\) percentage of animals with early signs of kidney damage and dogs fed Food B tended \((P < 0.08)\) to have greater damage as indicated by microalbuminuria analysis compared to dogs fed Food A.

**DISCUSSION**

In geriatric dogs, renal failure is a common process and leads to death in approximately 5% of the dog population.\(^27\) One of the main treatment strategies in the management of dogs with renal disease is to reduce dietary protein and phosphorus in foods.\(^28\) However, decreased dietary protein concentrations have been implicated in reducing lean muscle in aging animals\(^18\) and during weight loss.\(^14,19\) Thus, dietary intervention for one purpose may be counterproductive to another in the geriatric animal. Even so, balancing diets based on ideal amino acid ratios\(^17,29\) should allow a reduction in dietary crude protein while maintaining lean muscle mass of the dog. The objective of this experiment was to compare commercially available geriatric foods to an experimental

---

**Table 4. Vital Organ Markers Measured in Blood in Dogs.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metabolite</th>
<th>Food A</th>
<th>Food B</th>
<th>Food C</th>
<th>Food D</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Probability, (P)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>BUN:creatinine</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Food A vs Food B*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 30</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 90</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 180</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BUN, mg/dL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Food A vs Food C*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 30</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 90</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 180</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Creatinine, mg/dL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Food A vs Food D*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 30</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 90</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 180</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BUN = blood urea nitrogen.

*Probability of greater F-value.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Body Parameter Measured</th>
<th>Food A</th>
<th>Food B</th>
<th>Food C</th>
<th>Food D</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Food A Vs Food B*</th>
<th>Food A Vs Food C*</th>
<th>Food A Vs Food D*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 0, kg</td>
<td>12.07</td>
<td>11.91</td>
<td>12.20</td>
<td>11.68</td>
<td>0.695</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 90, kg</td>
<td>12.63</td>
<td>12.55</td>
<td>12.29</td>
<td>11.98</td>
<td>0.747</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 180, kg</td>
<td>12.63</td>
<td>12.98</td>
<td>12.25</td>
<td>12.07</td>
<td>0.798</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change Day 0 to 90, kg</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.203</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change Day 0 to 180, kg</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.309</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 0 vs Day 90*</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 0 vs Day 180*</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lean</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 0, g</td>
<td>7792</td>
<td>7842</td>
<td>7768</td>
<td>7691</td>
<td>475.5</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 90, g</td>
<td>7790</td>
<td>7672</td>
<td>7814</td>
<td>7544</td>
<td>488.2</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 180, g</td>
<td>7718</td>
<td>7581</td>
<td>8048</td>
<td>7202</td>
<td>469.9</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change Day 0 to 90, g</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-170</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>-147</td>
<td>112.4</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change Day 0 to 180, g</td>
<td>-74</td>
<td>-260</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>-262</td>
<td>129.8</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 0 vs Day 90*</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 0 vs Day 180*</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 0, g</td>
<td>3936</td>
<td>3647</td>
<td>3576</td>
<td>3942</td>
<td>394.4</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 90, g</td>
<td>4133</td>
<td>4289</td>
<td>3465</td>
<td>3872</td>
<td>402.9</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 180, g</td>
<td>4311</td>
<td>4792</td>
<td>3615</td>
<td>4173</td>
<td>470.5</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change Day 0 to 90, g</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>642</td>
<td>-111</td>
<td>-70</td>
<td>239.2</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change Day 0 to 180, g</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>1145</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>332.5</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 0 vs Day 90*</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 0 vs Day 180*</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone mineral content</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 0, g</td>
<td>429.2</td>
<td>422.0</td>
<td>430.1</td>
<td>433.2</td>
<td>23.77</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 90, g</td>
<td>428.4</td>
<td>429.2</td>
<td>427.7</td>
<td>437.8</td>
<td>24.27</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 180, g</td>
<td>426.9</td>
<td>436.8</td>
<td>431.8</td>
<td>421.6</td>
<td>25.38</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change Day 0 to 90, g</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>-2.4</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change Day 0 to 180, g</td>
<td>-2.3</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>-9.8</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>4.78</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 0 vs Day 90*</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 0 vs Day 180*</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Probability of greater F-value.
geriatric food for dogs on measures of body composition and kidney health.

Dogs fed experimental Food A had lower BUN and BUN:creatinine ratios at all 3 time points compared to the 3 commercial foods. In addition, dogs fed Food A had a lower percentage of animals with renal damage at Day 30 compared to 3 commercial foods and at Day 180 compared to dogs fed Food D. In the current study, dietary protein was lower in the experimental food compared to the 3 commercial foods. These data suggest improved measures of kidney function and reduced progression of kidney disease in dogs fed the experimental food compared to the 3 commercially available foods. Polzin et al also observed reduced serum urea nitrogen and mortality rates in dogs fed reduced protein foods (8% and 17%) compared to a high protein food (44%). Jacob et al reported a reduced rate of renal disease progression and mortality rate in dogs fed a reduced protein diet. Restricting both dietary protein and calories resulted in improved measures of kidney function in cats with surgically induced renal failure. Further, lower dietary protein intakes were associated with reduced mortality rate in a retrospective human study. On the other hand, Bovee and Finco et al observed no definitive relationship between dietary protein intake and measures of kidney function in dogs. Reducing the dietary protein concentration may slow renal disease progression by reducing negative effects of increased protein metabolism.

In addition to lower dietary protein, the experimental food contained lower concentrations of phosphorus which has also been implicated in improving renal disease status. Brown et al described improved survival rates and reduced kidney deterioration in dogs fed a low phosphorus food compared to a high phosphorus food. Similarly, Finco et al fed dogs a reduced phosphorus food (0.4%) compared to a high phosphorus food (1.4%) which increased survival time. Elliot et al and Ross et al reported increased survival time when cats with chronic renal failure were fed a diet with reduced protein and phosphorus. Both reduced protein and phosphorus diets have shown positive effects on renal function and chronic renal patient survival time, and may help improve healthy aging in geriatric animals by slowing renal disease progression.

Dietary supplementation with poly-unsaturated fatty acids and antioxidants are also believed to provide protective effects in renal failure patients. The experimental food contained both higher concentrations of omega-3 fatty acids and antioxidants. Brown et al demonstrated that omega-3 fatty acids were renal protective when fed to dogs while omega-6 fatty acids were detrimental to renal function. Brown et al proposed that providing omega-3 fatty acids functioned to reduce prostaglandin production and reduce glomerular capillary pressure. Furthermore, a retrospective study evaluating commercial diets noticed that cats survived the longest on a diet containing high levels of the omega-3 fatty acid eicosapentanoic acid. Using rat renal reduction models, Barcelli et al and Clark et al reported preservation of renal function in those fed greater concentra-
tions of omega-3 fatty acids. The addition of L-carnitine to the diet of rats in renal injury models also has been found to reduce the severity of renal damage and enhance renal function. High levels of antioxidants vitamin E and C have been shown to reduce oxidative stress and DNA damage in cats with renal insufficiency. Finally, the experimental Food A contained lipoic acid, a potent antioxidant, which has been shown to reduce tissue injury and renal dysfunction in rats with acute renal failure. The addition of these dietary ingredients to low protein/phosphorus foods may aid in the protection kidney function and slow progression of kidney disease in aging dogs.

Dogs fed Food B gained weight, fat, BMC, and lost lean over the 180-day feeding period; however, the change in BMC might be due to the additional weight gain in dogs fed Food B. Dogs fed Food D lost lean and BMC over the feeding period. We have no explanation why dogs fed Food B and Food D lost lean during the course of this study. The importance of body condition in the development of aging diseases in companion animals has been described. In addition, a greater amount of lean muscle mass is associated with improved mobility and cognitive function in humans. In the current study, the loss of lean in the geriatric dog may be part of the aging process and could potentially be prevented by providing additional essential amino acids that promote protein synthesis and prevent protein degradation.

CONCLUSION

Reducing dietary protein and phosphorus along with the addition of omega-3 fatty acids, carnitine, and high levels of antioxidants in the experimental geriatric food resulted in improved markers of kidney function and preservation of muscle mass in the geriatric dog. High protein diets designed to minimize loss of lean muscle may increase the risk for development of renal disease.
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